

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/417,097	10/13/1999	MASAYUKI MASUYAMA	0819-298	6973		
20277	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG			
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•	•	2612			
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~•								
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/417,09	9 7	MASUYAMA, MASAYUKI				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		LUONG T	. NGUYEN	2612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event. a reply within the state teriod will apply and westatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on :	11/24/2005.						
2a)⊠		This action is n	on-final.					
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-21</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from cor						
Applicat	ion Papers				-			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	,					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞ The oath or declaration is objected to by the				• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species I, corresponding to Figs. 3-10, read on claims 1-11, 22-25 in the reply filed on 6/17/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 12-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The Applicant is reminded that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/24/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re page 10, Applicant argues that the Examiner has not addressed how the reset timing is carried out, and the reset timing in the upper most row of Kuroda is not specified.

In response, regarding claim 1, the Examiner considers that the feature "how the reset timing is carried out, and reset timing in the upper most row" is not recited in the claim.

Art Unit: 2612

In re pages 10-11, applicant argues that Kuroda fails to disclose or suggest an amplifying solid-state imaging device, wherein every period during which the reset signal means is supplying the reset signal necessarily overlaps with a period during which the row selecting means is selecting one of the pixel rows other than the pixel row receiving the reset signal from the reset signal supplying means to perform the readout operation thereon.

In response, regarding claim 1, the Applicant amended claim with the limitation "wherein every period during which the reset signal means is supplying the reset signal necessarily overlaps with a period during which the row selecting means is selecting one of the pixel rows other than the pixel row receiving the reset signal from the reset signal supply means to perform the readout operation thereon." The Examiner considers that claim 1 as amended still does not distinguish from Kuroda. Kuroda discloses during the period 61, when row (n-1)th is reset, row nth is selected to perform readout operation, and during the period 62, when row nth is reset, row (n+1)th is selected to perform readout operation (Figure 5, Column 9, Lines 49-60, Column 10, Lines 16-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2612

5. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuroda et al. (US 6,512,543).

Regarding claim 1, Kuroda et al. discloses an amplifying solid-state imaging device comprising a plurality of pixels (pixels 32, figure 4, column 6, lines 11-25, column 4, lines 5-24) arranged in columns and rows, each said pixel including a signal storage section (photoelectric conversion/storage section 33, 6, lines 11-25, column 4, lines 5-24) for creating signal charge through photoelectric conversion and storing thereon signal information corresponding to the signal charge; reset signal supply means for generating a reset signal for an electronic shuttering operation and supplying the reset signal to the pixels belonging to one of the rows that has been selected to perform the electronic shuttering operation thereon, thereby resetting the signal storage sections included in the pixels on the selected row (reset transistors 80, figure 4, column 9, lines 15-65); row selecting means for sequentially selecting at least one row of pixels from the pixels to perform a signal readout operation thereon (shift register 36, selected-row-transistors 42, figure 4, column 9, lines 39-64); and a signal detector (driving transistors 35, load transistors 44, figure 4, column 9, lines 39-64) for reading out the signal information, which is stored in the signal storage sections included in the pixels on the row that has been selected by the row selecting means to perform the signal readout operation thereon, the signal detector including an amplifier (driving transistors 35, load transistors 44, figure 4, column 9, lines 39-64) that is connected in series between first and second power supplies (Vdd and Vss, figure 4), the signal detector sensing the signal information by making a current flow between the first and second power supplies, amplifying the signal information and then outputting the amplified signal information, wherein a period during which the reset signal supply means is supplying the reset

Art Unit: 2612

signal to an arbitrary one of the pixel rows overlaps with a period during which the row selecting means is selecting another one of the pixel rows to perform the readout operation thereon (in period 61, reset clock 81 to (n-1)th row overlaps with row selection 65 to nth row, during the period 61, when row (n-1)th is reset, row nth is selected to perform readout operation; and during the period 62, when row nth is reset, row (n+1)th is selected to perform readout operation; figure 5, column 9, lines 49-60, column 10, lines 16-32).

Regarding claims 2, 8, Kuroda et al. discloses the amplifier of the signal detector comprises drivers (driving transistors 35, figure 4) provided for the respective pixels; and load devices (load transistors 44, figure 4) provided for the respective pixel columns.

Regarding claims 3, 9, Kuroda et al. discloses each said driver (driving transistor 35, figure 4) is a transistor comprising a gate electrode (gate 34, figure 4) connected to associated one of the signal storage sections (photoelectric conversion/storage section 33, figure 4); a drain connected to the first power supply (Vdd, figure 4); and a source connected to associated one of the load devices (load transistor 44).

Regarding claims 4, 10, Kuroda et al. discloses each said driver and associated one of the load devices together form a source follower circuit (column 6, lines 57-62).

Regarding claim 6, Kuroda et al. discloses wherein the number of the pixel rows is equal to an HD number, which is the number of horizontal sync signals included in one frame interval,

Art Unit: 2612

out nth row and (n+1)th row of imaging area 31 (number of pixel rows), and sequentially reading out nth row and (n+1)th row of imaging area 31 (the number of horizontal sync signals included in one frame interval, figure 4, column 9, lines 15-64, column 10, lines 15-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda et al. (US 6,512,543) in view of Beiley et al. (US 6,522,357).

Regarding claims 5, 11, Kuroda et al. discloses each said signal storage section comprises a photodiode for performing photoelectric conversion (photoelectric conversion/storage section 33, 6, lines 11-25, column 4, lines 5-24).

Kuroda et al. fails to specifically disclose a capacitor for storing thereon charge created by the photodiode; and a transistor for electrically connecting or disconnecting the photodiode to/from the capacitor. However, Beiley et al. teaches a CMOS image sensor, which comprises a plurality of pixels, each pixel comprises a capacitor 34 and a transistor (M2) 24, which connecting or disconnecting the photodiode 14 to/from the capacitor 34, figure 1, column 3, lines 19-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Kuroda et al. by the teaching of Beiley et al. in order to store charge before read out. Doing so, the image quality of the readout image is increased.

Art Unit: 2612

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda et al. (US 6,512,543) in view of Wang et al. (US 4,862,276).

Regarding claim 7, Kuroda et al. fails to specifically discloses the pixels are classified into a group of imaging pixels that are provided within an effective pixel area and a group of dummy pixels that are provided in an area other than the effective pixel area, and wherein the number of pixel rows formed by the group of dummy pixels is obtained by subtracting the number of pixel rows formed by the group of imaging pixels from the number of the horizontal sync signals included in one frame interval. However, Wang et al. teaches a push-pull readout array, which comprises an effective area (rows of pixels R1-Rm, figure 7) and a group of dummy pixels (dummy pixels Rd, figure 7, column 12, lines 13-30), and number of pixel rows formed by the group of dummy pixels is obtained by subtracting the number of pixel rows formed by the group of imaging pixels from the number of the horizontal sync signals included in one frame interval (figure 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Kuroda et al. by the teaching of Wang et al. in order to obtain an array in which the undesired pedestal in the array output waveform is eliminated (column 4, lines 53-55).

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2612

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 7315. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272 - 7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN LN 4/28/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500